

Planning Team Report

Rezoning at 5 Anderson Road, Glenning Valley Proposal Title : Rezoning at 5 Anderson Road, Glenning Valley The planning proposal seeks to rezone land currently zoned 7(c)(Scenic Protection: Small Proposal Summary : Holdings Zone) in Wyong LEP 1991 and proposed to be zoned E4 Environmental Living in the Draft Wyong LEP 2013 to a R2 Low Density Residential zone and apply a minimum lot size of 450m2 to enable a residential subdivision. Dop File No : 13/16953 PP Number : PP_2013_WYONG_012_00 **Proposal Details** Date Planning 17-Oct-2013 LGA covered : Wyong Proposal Received : RPA : Wyong Shire Council Hunter Region : Section of the Act : State Electorate : THE ENTRANCE 55 - Planning Proposal LEP Type : Spot Rezoning **Location Details** Street : 5 Anderson Road Postcode : 2261 Suburb : **Glenning Valley** City : Land Parcel : Lot 8 DP816552 **DoP Planning Officer Contact Details** Contact Name : **Glenn Hornal** Contact Number : 0243485002 Contact Email : glenn.hornal@planning.nsw.gov.au **RPA Contact Details** Contact Name : **Rod Mergan** Contact Number : 0243505560 Contact Email : RSMergan@wyong.nsw.gov.au **DoP Project Manager Contact Details** Contact Name : Contact Number : Contact Email : Land Release Data Growth Centre : Release Area Name : N/A N/A Consistent with Strategy : Yes Regional / Sub **Central Coast Regional Regional Strategy:** Strategy

MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :	1.91	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Residential
No. of Lots 🗄	19	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :	8		
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment : upporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :			
External Supporting Notes :			
quacy Assessment	t design of the second	and the second	with places and the
tatement of the obj	ectives - s55(2)(a)		

Comment :

Council's stated objective is to enable a residential subdivision on the land.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

The planning proposal seeks to amend the land zoning map of the draft Wyong LEP 2013 by zoning the land to R2 Low Density Residential and amend the minimum lot size map to 450m2.

The site is currently zoned 7(c)(Scenic Protection: Small Holdings Zone) with a 2 hectare minimum lot size under Wyong LEP 1991 and was exhibited as E4 Environmental Living with a 2 hectare minimum lot size under the draft Wyong LEP 2013.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes

2.1 Environment Protection Zones **3.1 Residential Zones** * May need the Director General's agreement 3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.3 Site Specific Provisions

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes **SEPP No 44—Koala Habitat Protection** d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified? SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008 e) List any other matters that need to be considered : Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Unknown If No, explain : An assessment of SEPPs and S117 Directions is provided later in the report. Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d) Is mapping provided? Yes Council has provided a proposed zoning map and minimum lot size map to amend the Comment : draft Wyong LEP 2013. The proposal could benefit from maps showing the current zone under the Wyong LEP 1991 and the exhibited zone and minimum lot size maps of the draft Wyong LEP 2013 to enable community understanding of the proposed change in development zones and standards. Community consultation - s55(2)(e) Has community consultation been proposed? Yes Council has recommended the proposal be exhibited for 28 days. Comment : **Additional Director General's requirements** Are there any additional Director General's requirements? Yes **PROJECT TIMELINE** If Yes, reasons Council's timeline anticipates the planning proposal is to be finalised in May 2014 (approx 7 months). It is recommended that a 9 month timeframe should be sufficient time to complete the plan and this does not prevent Council from finalising a plan in a shorter timeframe. **DELEGATION AUTHORISATION** Council has accepted plan-making delegations for planning proposals generally and has requested delegation to make this planning proposal. Overall adequacy of the proposal Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes If No, comment : Proposal Assessment **Principal LEP:** Due Date : December 2013 Comments in The site was exhibited as E4 Environmental Living with a 2 hectare minimum lot size under relation to Principal the draft Wyong LEP 2013 and is expected to be finalised by the end of 2013. LEP :

Rezoning at 5 Anderson Road, Glenning Valley

Assessment Criter	ia
Need for planning proposal :	Council advised the site is identified as being within an urban development program as shown in the Central Coast Regional Strategy (CCRS) and is also identified in Council's Settlement Strategy for short term investigation.
	The site appears to adjoin land identified in CCRS as an existing Metropolitan Development Program (MDP) Release Area. Council's Settlement Strategy identified that Council advertised for the lodgement of landowner-initiated rezoning requests and identified a number of applications to proceed to further assessment and investigation including this site.
	Council advised the landowner submitted a request and provided supporting material and Council resolved to submit the planning proposal for a Gateway determination.

Consistency with	CENTRAL COAST REGIONAL STRATEGY (CCRS)
strategic planning	Council has identified the planning proposal is consistent with the CCRS as Action 4.6
framework :	specifies that land to be rezoned for housing zoned during the life of the Strategy is to be
	located within existing urban areas, existing MDP areas, areas identified through the
	preparation of LEPs and Greenfield areas of the North Wyong Structure Plan.
	preparation of LEP's and Greenneid areas of the North Wyong Studenter han.
	Council has advised the land is within the existing MDP area in the CCRS and is therefore
	consistent with the strategy. The site appears to be located within this MDP area however
	a review of the MDP 2010/11 Report Chapter 5.12 (see attached map) shows the site
	adjoins but is not within the Berkeley Vale MDP release area which has been fully
	developed with residential dwellings. The rezoning of the site would be a logical infill and
	extension of the MDP area. The site was also identified for further investigation in
	Council's Settlement Strategy (conditionally endorsed by the Director General) during the
	preparation of the draft Wyong LEP and is considered to be consistent with the CCRS.
	LOCAL STRATEGIES
	Council has provided an assessment against the following local strategies:
	1. Community Strategic Plan 2030; and
	2. Wyong Shire Council Strategic/Annual Plan
	Council has provided a list of objectives and actions that are addressed in the planning
	proposal related to these strategies.
	STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES (SEPPs)
	SEPP 44 Koala Habitat
	Council has advised the ecological assessment report noted that less than 15% of trees
	-
	are koala feed trees and therefore the land does not contain potential koala habitat and is
	considered to be consistent with the SEPP.
	SEPP 55 - Contaminated Land
	Council states the site is unlikely to have been used for a potentially contaminating land
	use but will need to be confirmed. The issue still requires formal assessment and a
	contaminated land assessment should be carried out to comply with the provisions of the
	SEPP.
	SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008.
	Council has identified the proposal does not contradict or repeat provisions in the SEPP.
	S117 DIRECTIONS
	The planning proposal is considered to be consistent with all applicable S117 Directions
	except where discussed below:
	except where discussed below.
	4.2 Mining Detroloum Production and Extractive Industries
	1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries
	Council has advised this direction is not applicable. However the planning proposal is
	inconsistent with this direction as it would have the effect of prohibiting the uses (i.e.
	mining) covered by this direction.
	Currently mining uses are permitted by the Mining SEPP as they can occur where
	agriculture is permitted. The current 7(c) zone permits agriculture however agriculture is a
	prohibited use in the proposed R2 Low Density Residential Zone.
	promotied use in the proposed K2 Low Density Residential 20ne.
	A second should a second to the Alex Devices of a Dataset of Dataset of the Alexandron of the second
	Council should consult with the Department of Primary Industries - Minerals and
	Petroleum to satisfy the requirements and demonstrate consistency with the direction.
	2.1 Environment Protection Zones
	The planning proposal is inconsistent with the direction as it would reduce the
	environmental protection standards applying to the land by rezoning the land from 7(c)
	(Scenic Protection: Small Holdings Zone) to a R2 Low Density Residential Zone to enable
	the residential subdivision.

4

Council has advised the Ecological Assessment and archaeological review reveal limited potential for adverse impacts should the site be developed. The site has been largely cleared and remaining vegetation is confined to the boundaries around the site. It is considered that the supporting studies be provided to OEH during agency consultation and, if adequate, Council should seek the DG's agreement that any inconsistency is justified by the Ecological Assessment.

4.1 Acid Sulphate Soils

Council has not identified the direction as applicable. However the Acid Sulfate Soils Maps for the SI dLEP show part of the site is located on the outer fringe of Class 5 land (approximately 500 metres from land with potential acid sulfate soils). Therefore any inconsistency with the direction is considered to be of minor significance.

4.4 Bushfire Prone Lands

Council has identified the proposal is consistent with the Direction however consistency with the direction can only be determined following consultation with the NSW Rural Fire Service. This matter should be addressed following agency consultation.

Environmental social economic impacts :

Council has provided a number of supporting studies with the planning proposal which include:

- Ecological Assessment (December 2010)
- Aboriginal Heritage Due Diligence Assessment Report (March 2011)
- Water Cycle Management Plan (undated)
- Servicing for Urban Development Report (undated)
- Concept Subdivision Plan (March 2011)
- Bushfire Rezoning Report (December 2010)
- Traffic Impact Assessment (March 2011)

Environmental

Council has identified 2 patches of threatened flora species 'Melaleuca biconvexa' within the forest remnants and Council advise these are intended to be retained within the existing road reserve or through title restrictions on future residential lots. Consideration of impacts on this species could be confirmed with OEH during agency consultation.

Council has advised the Aboriginal Heritage Due Diligence Assessment of the land concluded there was unlikely to be any Aboriginal objects or artefacts on the land and further assessment was not required and the report confirms further assessment does not appear warranted.

The servicing report indicated the site is not directly connected to Council's sewer system and will require provision of an on-site sewer pump station and rising main. The report concluded provision of road access, power, water telecommunication, gas and sewer are all possible on the site. Council could consider mapping the site on the urban release area map to ensure that adequate arrangements for any public utility infrastructure will be available to service future development on site.

The site is located on land identified as bushfire prone. The site is largely cleared and the bushfire report's recommendation states the rezoning would meet the intent of the Planning for Bushfire Protection Guidelines. Further consideration of bushfire issues would be undertaken during agency consultation as required by \$117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection.

The traffic report concluded the existing road network has spare capacity to accommodate the additional development. The site is located near Wyong Road classified as a State Road and is serviced by regular public transport services (buses) to the centres of Wyong, Tuggerah and Gosford as well most of the local shopping centres in the surrounding area.

Social & Economic

Council has identified the rezoning of land and subsequent residential development will create a demand for community, cultural and recreational services. The site is subject to Council's Shire Wide and Southern Lakes Section 94 Contributions Plan and will be

	required to meet its sl	hare of the cost of the provision of the	ese services.
	The proposal is also likely to provide short term employment opportunities should the s be developed and assist in the provision of regional housing targets identified in the Central Coast Regional Strategy.		nt opportunities should the site Ig targets identified in the
ssessment Proce	SS		
Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consultation Period :	14 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months	Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Office of Environment and Heritage NSW Department of Primary Industries - Minerals and Petroleum NSW Rural Fire Service		
Is Public Hearing by th	e PAC required?	No	
(2)(a) Should the matte	er proceed ?	Yes	
If no, provide reasons			
Resubmission - s56(2)	(b) : No		
If Yes, reasons :			
Identify any additional	studies, if required. 🗄		
If Other, provide reaso	ns :		
Identify any internal co	nsultations, if required :		
No internal consultati	on required		
Is the provision and fur	nding of state infrastructure	e relevant to this plan? No	
If Yes, reasons :		requested advice from the Planning In er and is yet to receive a response.	frastructure Coordination
uments			
		DocumentType Na	

	Besamentijpe i taine		
Planning Proposal, Maps & Council Resolution.pdf	Proposal	Yes	
Attachment 2 - Historic Council Report 26 Feb 2003.pdf	Proposal	Yes	
Attachment 3 - Letter from Mayor - 5 December 2005.pdf	Proposal	Yes	
Attachment 4 - Letter from Council Staff -30 Sept 2010.pdf	Proposal	Yes	
Attachment 5 - Ecological Assessment.pdf	Proposal	Yes	
Attachment 6 - Aboriginal Heritage Due Diligence	Proposal	Yes	
Assessment Report.pdf			
Attachment 7 - Traffic Impact Assessement.pdf	Proposal	Yes	
Attachment 8 - Water Cycle Management Plan.pdf	Proposal	Yes	
Attachment 9 - Servicing Report for Urban	Proposal	Yes	
Development.pdf			
Attachment 10 - Concept Subdivision Plan.pdf	Proposal	Yes	
Attachment 11 - Bushfire Assessment.pdf	Proposal	Yes	
MDP Release Area Map.doc	Мар	Yes	

Evaluation Criteria for the delegation of plan making functions.pdf **Determination Document**

Yes

Planning Team Recomr	nendation
Preparation of the planning	ng proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions
S.117 directions;	 2.1 Environment Protection Zones 3.1 Residential Zones 3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
Additional Information :	6.3 Site Specific Provisions The proposal could benefit from maps showing the current zone under the Wyong LEP and the exhibited zone and minimum lot size maps of the draft Wyong LEP 2013 to enable community understanding of the proposed change in zones and standards.
	2. Council should consider mapping the site on the urban release area map to ensure that adequate arrangements for any public utility infrastructure will be available to service the future development.
	3. Council is to update the planning proposal's consideration of SEPP 55 Contaminated Land once information on Contaminated Land has been obtained.
	4. Council is required to address consistency with S117 Directions 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries, 2.1 Environment Protection Zones 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection following consultation with the relevant Agencies.
	5. The Director General agrees the planning proposal's inconsistency with S117 Direction 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils is of minor significance.
	6. Agency consultation with Office of Environment and Heritage, NSW Rural Fire Service and NSW Department of Primary Industries - Minerals and Petroleum.
	7. 14 day exhibition
	8. 9 month timeframe
Supporting Reasons :	9. Council be issued with delegation to make the plan. *
Signature:	GMlophins'
Printed Name:	GHOPKINS Date: 19.11.2013